## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION



\*

Counsel for the Defendant has filed a Motion for Continuance of Trial, Doc. 40, requesting the trial date be continued, and the Government has no objection, and after consideration of the file in this matter, the Court finds that failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence and further that failure to grant the continuance would deny the public interest in bringing this case to trial in an orderly fashion. Further, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by continuing this trial outweigh the best interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. For all of those reasons as well as those set forth in the Motion,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That the Defendant's Motion for Continuance of Trial, Doc. 40, is granted.
- 2. That January 7, 2008, is hereby set as the deadline for submission to the Court of any proposed plea agreement by Defendant.
- 3. That all motions in limine shall be in writing and filed, together with proposed instructions, with the Court ten (10) working days before trial.
- 4. That the jury trial herein shall commence in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, on Tuesday, February 19, 2008, with counsel to be present for motions in limine at 9:00 A.M., and with the jury to report at 9:30 A.M.
- 5. That the period of delay resulting from such continuance is excluded in

computing the time within which the trial of the offense for the Defendant must commence, as the Court finds that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

Dated this 14 day of November, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

Lawrence L. Piersol

United States District Judge

ATTEST:

JOSEPH HAAS, CLERK

BY: Musqueles